Part of the problem with the people here in the US is that they don't know if an issue is serious or not. They get commentary from one person they like such as Warren Buffett who will give you a bias because remember he wants you to vote Democrat even though most won't. If you really want to follow the case, then you have to know when it is serious or not, you don't even know the details. Just reading it, it sounds serious like it should be shut down or at least fined so much money the CEO's can no longer afford private jets. Not only that, it costs a fortune to take them to court, why? That's public money being put to waste for overpriced lawyers. We don't even know how many people are behind this Wells Fargo scandal. This is how I know America cannot have elections, we are not taught how much too much corruption is or if 19 trillion in debt is manageable or not. Also, why should I have to protest to get the Wells Fargo people fired? We pay for judges after all, this is just done to anger people, no one is that stupid to steal money from America it's no different than a gangster the difference is that they will get the gangster. America has written the law in such a way where you don't do that, also you make so much money you don't have to steal from your clients.

With the Bin Laden in Tehran documentary, what proof do you have that Bin Laden is there? If there is proof for him being in Tehran, he could be anywhere. Someone would gladly snitch on him for the 10 million dollars. Ahmadinejad is laughing because some people are stupid enough to believe it.

Part of my complaint with colleges is that they push people out of them to try to get them to think a certain way. These colleges argue that the workplace is telling them to "vote conservative" but that's no excuse to tell others why they need to vote a certain way, they know these people's bosses aren't saying "your next paycheck is dependent on if you vote for Trump or not" these workplaces aren't allowed to talk about politics. But the grades they hand out are dependent on how they endorse Hillary Clinton, you are only telling me your side of politics and there are no other classes to choose from. These college professors can't make the separation here. They also don't realize that they are appealing to idiots who don't get that you the professor want them to vote a certain way, they don't pickup that you aren't their friend until they do exactly what you the professor want out of them. They don't understand that this isn't a buddy system and that by telling others "don't vote Trump" you aren't helping any cause. If they were rude to a student who spoke up, they could end out siding with that student without the teacher knowing. One final point I would like to make is that most people care about their country, the problem is that people aren't able to express themselves in such a way to make it clear. I don't think they want to resort to name calling or yelling but that they are not ready for dialogue or the conversation cannot be talked about at all with people who disagree with you, you cannot type your message in fewer than 140 characters.

Part of the reason why I trust the leaders from before the end of WWI is because they had their own kids, they knew not to put civilians in harm's way though some may have taken a chance during war (this was rare). There were also laws in place against harming a civilian. With the military no one cared if they died, it was a good deal for a paycheck. Their wives could also get a new husband in no time. The leaders had to answer to the military; they couldn't start up a war that didn't have an end in sight, you would always go in expecting to win with ease that's why they set goals on how much land to capture. So, I justify every war because their neighbors had to make the case not to get invaded and there were other empires that were ready to strike any country at any time. My guess is that there were endless disputes or there were pro Ottomans in the land being captured, it couldn't just be about money it must have been long term like in 50 years they would advance further into Europe. Not one country is responsible for any war except for Serbia for WWI. These countries would have to call truce at some point with machinery today, we have airstrikes now which must be why no one wants to fight Assad, they don't see themselves winning just defending the land and hoping for Saudi Arabia to invade at some point. If not for Iran stepping in later, they would have wrecked Syria by now.

The difference is that we fight for what we believe in, they just sit there and degrade us for their own personal gain. They want things to be viewed a certain way instead of researching Islam and saying "I'm going to keep you safe" because they want enemies or they forget what they would do in their shoes. If these people who claim that our faiths are holding us back, you think they would feel empathy for these people. Problem is that they were born and raised in once Christian nations yet they are ungrateful for what was given to them, we look around and we see the entire infrastructure created by Christians 100 years ago. The world is a better place thanks to Christianity.

All it takes is one generation to leave Islam, then the ethics will soon fall with it in the person, it most likely will not return in that person's grandkids. So many people do not read the Bible front and back I just know it, they take from TV shows and the TV is not the Bible. Now when someone gives me trouble in the real world, I will know "he didn't read the Bible." God gave us plenty to work with, he loves us. What I'm trying to say is if someone was taught that Jesus died for us and stood up for us then the message will get across that someone cared about you. Or if I told someone how Moses said "let my people go", that's so important we want people to be told repeatedly that God chose the right ones to lead us. It's like if we went to war and you knew you were going to lose and there was nothing you could do about it. God pick winners, that's predestination because the Bible will not continue in those who don't want the message bad enough. I can't help but fall in love with these people; I know if Moses was the leader, I would stay quiet.

Look at photos of leaders daily if you can. You don't have posters but you can look up Ali's face once a day to help better yourself.

The entire Syrian War was a failure, now people are going to be supporting Bashar Al-Assad for the next 40 years. With the Daesh fighters in Syria, they can be forgiven and may not need to be put in prison at all maybe just community service. We will have to show the Daesh fighters some of their crimes but have the other person forgive them and say "we love you." But with Al Nusra Front, they have to die. We can't put them in jails because they could attack an officer. Only 5% of them can be stopped, we would have to put them in a camp for 10 years to fix them, they may still not work. I am smart enough to know that the jails and this is not Syria or Iran these courts fraudulent, they have no legitimacy, they do not apply by the rules of justice. Most cops here are worthless; they have no value at all. I will avoid them for my own good. To quote Assad "America's leaders have no credibility." most Americans here do not follow what the President here says, they ignore them. You are an Arab living in America who supports Iraq; this in no way applies to you. Assad is trying to help you, he's not trying to degrade you or make you doubt yourself in any way he is my President.

The message this is sending is, "don't be kind to everyone, some expect others to act the exact same as them when they forget just from unjust, you can show how Brazil is failing miserably, do what you think is best." That's why it says "try to get along with all of your neighbors", it would say "you must get along with all of your neighbors" if God had expected that from you, God knows you have limits. To expand "try to get along with all of your neighbors" simply means don't fight them because you can end up in court, but don't tolerate their hypocrisy it says to stand firm after all. It is anticipating there to be occasional conflict since we live in apartments after all; all we have to do is come to a truce and shake each other's hands. Thankfully we all just avoid each other here; small apartments may not be able to. I can tell when someone is wrong; I won't let my dad to decide on my behalf me when someone is being too loud or not. I could step in and say "oh they are not being too loud" when it upsets him, you see this game that some play? It's simply unrealistic. This is yet another example of freedom of expression in the Bible. This extends to landlords, it's not so much that they are hypocrites; it is that they do not know when complaints are fair and when they are not. The landlord does not live in the building and cannot say who is being reasonable and who isn't because the landlord doesn't know if being expected to pay at a certain time is fair or not. The landlord doesn't want trouble, he doesn't want bad reviews, he would want to give each person their ability to decide when to pay but some have ruined that opportunity for all.

God is speaking to you through scripture not to serve money, this is for your own good; your wife will cheat on you. That means adultery goes hand in hand with serving money, I've never met someone's wife who wanted to cheat on their husband or laughed it off. It can also be used to say that if you serve money, you could forget scripture and care more about money than you do others. There's nothing wrong with wanting to make the most money, but part of me now thinks you will talk about money nonstop like people do football. I can't grasp this one, I know many people have friends that work for a company, I know you can lose touch with a longtime friend if you focus only on those who work for you, but I can't say for sure that is a bad thing if you lose a longtime friend. That friend could be a reminder of what it was like when you were younger, so you now have no one to share those moments with. If you want to go real, real, far with this, you will get a higher standard of living and move to an area in the South West for example, this means new people. I would embrace new people but many will not.

Talk about material such as football with other people, this will help build relations, you want to help better your image after all, these people offer you something after all.

Taqiyya (lying to prevent persecution) was necessary for those in Spain who had lost. This allowed them to convert to Christianity safely, their lives were saved or they avoided a long prison sentence. It was necessary and it was a great thing, lives were saved people still loved Muhammad, they may have taught about him in private but they never vowed vengeance on the Christians. I have no clue how many held on and how many converted but it must this was far before countries were the reason behind wars, if you force converted someone in the Ottoman Empire, they may not have minded because you still gave them the Quran and you wanted to be their friend. This is no longer necessary, no one will force you to eat pork here and no one would feel bad doing it. This is so great because it tells these people "it happens", I say "forgive them Father" but these Muslims may get angry seeing a Mosque blown up by Daesh. This is essential because we don't want to play this game where Sunni's capture some land, force convert others to Sunni, then the Shia's come they will end force converting, others end out hating both and going to Christianity. I don't have to physically threaten the convert to get the answer I expect out of him. These soldiers forget, these are people you are converting, this is not flying a flag over their territory; that we can do. It would be great if we could just draw these lines in Iraq and not allow people to go past them. If you preach forgiveness, that will go far in Iraq if Daesh is to return, I don't want the innocent Daesh fighters harmed in any way, this is just telling them "there's no need to fight, things are going well." I don't mind a Daesh flag in their territory, how exactly we get them to a ceasefire and to teach them love thy neighbor I haven't a clue. If their Caliphate means so much, why are they fighting us? Wasn't Muhammad the one who wanted dialogue before considering going to war? They have a base, they can wait for people to join along.....do they not agree with this? We will grant them the lands if that means they stop bringing in soldiers to fight us from overseas, these people are free to live in Daesh territory. If they send a few soldiers out at a time, well that's just what we have to accept, we can hold them off. A person in Iraq would not accept that response but there has to be some answer here verses invading and having 500 dead which could include the person who is for an invasion. Attacking and attacking is not going to work, there can be another solution here. These citizens want a direction; many of them will take whoever will protect them. Just speaking from someone who lives in the West, I know it upsets many people here when they see the youth being taught the Quran by Daesh fighters but I know they are not teaching war I know they see themselves are protectors. The problem is that we will have to either ban them from outside the area or we will have to vet them and make sure that they will not target a Mosque. Some may read that and say that Daesh must be destroyed, but we would still get the same result if they were destroyed, so I disagree with you. I get where you the reader are coming from, but I trust that the majority of the citizens in Deash territories will know not to target a Mosque in Eastern Iraq so the numbers still don't justify the amount lost as a result of conflict. I will bet you that the Mosque attacks are about the same since Daesh arrived, they may even have decreased. You and I both want to give these people something to hold on to, if Daesh keeps Iraq safer than I cannot say no to it. As far as keeping Europe safe, I'm not sure what to say, that's for the governments to crack down on people living within it. They can them offer them to live in Daesh territory. I've heard some say "don't attack us and we won't strike" well that's exactly what I want to hear, we will just keep arming Iraq.

You don't send the child off to you in war; you know the kid is going in good hands. You get the kid far away from the conflict. If you want what is best, you send the kid to some country that will keep the kid safest. I would trust the child under a UN mandate country; I would just say "sorry, don't forget the good in you" to the poor kid. They can't give up on Palestine. If only they were taught in Pakistan that their kid may never see Pakistan again if they start a conflict in Kashmir, it's like they are asking Pakistan to be hurt. Do they not know Pakistan can fall? India sure won't.

Some Christians may think that a Prophet is coming at end times, both of this doesn't make sense. If the Prophet came to earth, everyone would follow him. If the Prophet came during end times, what would that be for? The war would already be taking place. Jesus would say that the Anti-Christ is not God's final Prophet; we will all be unified......

Isn't it odd that a "western historian" would tell you that there are documents for BC that we can use but then they claim that the Old Testament cannot be used as fact? Then what can we go by? Can't this be used as the same as letters? I know none of them can be that stupid when there had to have been thousands of Bibles! This has been handed down for generations. Saying David conquered Arabia is NOT a theory, we can prove this very easily. If they can't get something that easy right, then we can never take their word on anything. Another thing too is that if these people documented everything, then there were definitely some who documented what Jesus said, is that not good enough for them?

First, Noah got off the Ark in Arabia; Shem his son stays in Arabia, Abraham finds God he travels around Arabia from Mecca to North of Sana'a (Yemen), Ishmael Abraham's son creates the Kaaba in Arabia; David conquers Yemen to create Israel with original Jerusalem, Solomon his son builds the First Temple, they lose a battle later the First Temple gets destroyed they get sold into slavery, Cyrus the Great sends most Israelites back to Arabia. Moses later on takes his people from Arabia to Palestine the Second Temple is later built, Jesus the Messiah from Nazareth Palestine comes and gets resurrected from the dead while in New Jerusalem Palestine, Muhammad whose ancestors had not left Arabia (Israel) did not leave was part of the 12 Tribes of Israel Muhammad then becomes God's final Prophet.

It was called the "Dark Ages" because it was too expensive to produce any books at the time so very little is known about it. The majority of the world couldn't bleep read, that's probably how they picked their leaders in some of these countries. The preachers could read, I just know it so that meant that they could preach the Gospel or the Quran. I know there were translators because they were able to do trade. It wasn't until 1550 when 25% could read, that's still not enough people to run schools, they could be all around the country or it could just be the rich, this notion that they were keeping people dumb......no. They may not have known it was a good investment to teach people how to read and write. We wouldn't even know why these wars were being fought, it could have been a dispute amongst traders and they warned of an invasion without talking to the other leader, how bad is that? There was also incentive to defend France and Spain because if Spain were conquered by the Caliphate than they would be speaking Arabic. They knew that in less than 40 years there would be another war. If they liked the Caliphate better because the Caliphate kept growing, that would not be good.

"For what does a man profit if he gains the whole world but loses his soul?" that must mean that God knows that the world cannot be run but can be taken as Eastern Orthodox running the world.

With regards to Haj Amin Al Huseini he had thought that Jews and Arabs were separate ethnicities. He would have loved to have heard the truth that Arabs and Jews are the same ethnically. Amin at no point targeted Jews for their religion; he targeted those who were against Germany because Germany had the Arabs best interest. When he said "slay the Jews" he meant ethnic Jews who fought on behalf of the British and Soviet Union, if only he knew that would mean he wanted to end all Arabs through friendly fire by accident. That's why he said "God is with you" instead of "Allah is with you" he wanted the religious Karaites to rise up and join them. He addressed Muslims who accept Muhammad as Mohammadans in other radio speeches, in the quote provided he addressed his fellow supporters as Arabs. He knew there were plenty of good Jews who were both religious and racially Jewish, he would never harm them, he wanted to keep Islam safe from the British; he knew they would try to conquer all Arab nations. The last thing this guy would want to do is harm someone based on their religion, he knew Palestine was being invaded; he would never harm a real Jew in Palestine. There's no proof he would put them in harm's way. Amin was of the highest moral standard, the last thing he would do is jeopardize the lives of others, he saw a good opportunity and he took it for you! I am disgusted by the level of disrespect you foster towards him. If you did any research at all you would know that there was an interview with him where he said that he sided with Hitler because was against the British. He hated the British for mass settlements in Palestine; it was a betrayal for them not revolting when they won the land from the Ottoman Empire.